Command Discipline Report New Rochelle Police Department

Officer's Name	Rank	Employee Number	Assignment	
Myron Joseph	Sergeant	6866	PSD/Traffic	

Investigation has been completed concerning the violation charged herein. The finding and the disciplinary action recommended are indicated below. You may accept the finding and the proposed disciplinary action or decline to accept the finding and the proposed disciplinary action in lieu of a statutory hearing on written charges before a hearing officer.

Summary of Investigation and Disposition of Complaint:

On November 29, 2007 Lieutenant George Marshall discovered that Sergeant Myron Joseph had failed to meet with, nor sign the memorandum books of, Police Officers Ronnie Johnson and Edward Siller. As a result, the fact that the two officers had failed to properly maintain their memorandum books for three months went undetected.

By his actions Sergeant Joseph violated Sections 1.2 and 1.51 of this Department's Rules and Regulations.

Finding	Disciplinary Action Recommended	
Sustained	Loss of two (2) leave days	
Signature of Division Commander	Date 1 / Q / A S	
To Be Completed By Officer Charged:	, 1970	

I understand that I do not have to accept the findings and the disciplinary action recommended by my commanding officer. My right to a statutory hearing before a hearing officer has been explained to me and I hereby voluntarily:

(V) Accept the finding and the proposed disciplinary action.

Decline to accept any disciplinary action without a statutory hearing.

Officer's Signature	Date	Witnessed by:
Xt: Mym loson.18	1/8/08.	CAPT GAZZOLA
eolia	1 1	

TIP 1-16-08

Filed 07/26/22

City Of New Rochelle New York

Interdepartmental communication

To: Sergeant Myron Joseph

From: Captain Robert Gazzola

Subject: Proposed Disciplinary Action

Date: 19 Dec 07

- 1) Attached hereto please find a copy of charges against you alleging misconduct on your part.
- 2) A penalty of a loss of two (2) leave days is proposed.
- 3) You have eight (8) days in which to answer this communication. Such answer shall be on the form attached or in similar form and must be in my office no later than

Should you fail to respond, such failure shall be construed as not contesting the proposed disciplinary action and accepting the proposed penalty therefore without a hearing.

4) You have the right to be represented by Counsel and / or other representative of your choosing.

DATE OF RECORSE 7:19-cv-00265-NS 30 November 2007	SR-VRPO LICE U DEPAR()4//佳和 CITY OF NEW ROCHELLE,						
COMPLAINANT'S NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE NO. (HOME & BUSINESS)					
Lt George Marshall	475 North Ave	654-2221					
DATE AND TIME RECEIVED	COMPLAINT RECEIVED BY	HOW RECEIVED					
29 November 2007 1400hrs	Lt Marshall	In person					
DATE AND TIME OF OCCURRENCE	LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE						
29 November 2007 1400hrs	The contract of the contract o	Headquarters/475 North Avenue					
	•						
OFFICER(S) INVOLVED	RANK	SHIELD NUMBER(S)					
Myron Joseph	Sergeant	6866					
WITNESSES NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE NO (HOME & PHONESO)					
WITNESSES NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE NO. (HOME & BUSINESS)					
STATEMENT OF COMPLAINT	D 1.0 (1	(II B					
		s of the Department will perform their					
		ntable for their actions and cannot avoid					
1.40	ons. Included within incompe	tent performance but not limited are the					
following:							
a) Unwillingness to perform assigned tasks							
b) Failure to conform to work standards established for the member's rank or position							
c) Failure to take appropriate action at an incident needing police attention							
d) Failure to prepare legible and	coherent reports						
e) Evaluations showing substandard performance							
,	•						
Chapter 1.51 of the Rules and Regulations states: sergeants assigned to street duty will visit each							
subordinate under their command, including those assigned to special posts or special details, at							
least once on each tour of duty. The sergeant will make a record of the inspection by signing the officer's memo book. The record should include the time and location of the "meet" along with the							

sergeant's signature. The sergeant will also record the "meets" in his or her memo book.

On 29 November 2007 I discovered that Sergeant Myron Joseph violated the above noted chapters of the Rules and Regulations by failing to properly supervise his subordinates by neglecting to "meet" with officers under his command, namely Officers Siller and Johnson. Said officers had failed to properly maintain their memo books during the last three months.

In making this complaint I agree to be available for any Department Trial or Hearing as may come due. I further understand that the making of a false statement in this instrument is punishable as a Class A Misdemeanor pursuant to Section 210.45 of the Penal Law of the Sate of New York.

Sworn to before me this	
day of	20